

Protein models in Martini 3: elastic networks and Gō-like models

Sebastian Thallmair

online Martini workshop 2021

Outline

- Protein structures and their key interactions
- Protein models in Martini 3
 - Elastic network model
 - Gō-like model
- Show cases
 - PH domain
 - Allosteric pathway in SOD1 mutant
 - AFM profile of protein complexes
- Summary

Protein structures and their key interactions

- Different types of interactions stabilize proteins:
 - hydrophobic interactions
 - polar interactions
 - hydrogen bonds
 - charged interactions
 - disulfide bonds, etc.
- In particular the directionality of H bonds is challenging in CG models
- Additional bonded interactions are needed

figure from V. Sereikaité, et al., ChemBioChem 19, 2136 (2018).



Additional stabilization required for tertiary structure

- 2-layered protein models in Martini 3:
 - bonded & non-bonded interactions [1]
 - structural models
- Two choices for dedicated structural models:
 - elastic networks (EN) with harmonic potentials [2]
 - Gō-like model with Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials [3]

[1] P. C. T. Souza, et al., Nat. Methods 18, 382 (2021).

[2] D. H. de Jong, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **9**, 687 (2013).

[3] A. B. Poma, et al., J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13, 1366 (2017).



Elastic network model

- Bonded terms applied to backbone (BB) beads at the backbone center of mass
- Elastic bond introduced if:
 - residues are separated by at least 2 residues
 - BB distance *d* is shorter than the cutoff distance $R_{\rm c}$
- Harmonic potential connects the BB beads

$$V(d) = 0.5 k \left(d - R_{eq} \right)^2$$

• Typical setup:

cutoff distance $R_c = 0.8 - 1.0 \text{ nm}$ force constant $k = 700 - 1000 \text{ kJ/(mol nm^2)}$



Preparing and fine-tuning your elastic network model

- Martinize2 command [4]: martinize2 <...> -ff martini3001 -elastic -ef 700.0 -el 0.5 -eu 0.9
- Optimization of cutoff distance R_c and force constant k
- Additional fine-tuning options:
 - distance-dependent force constant k
 options -ea -ep
 - Removal of EN bonds in flexible protein regions
- [4] P. C. Kroon, *et al., in preparation* (2021). www.github.com/marrink-lab/vermouth-martinize

[bonds]

- •••
- ; Rubber band
 - 1 7 1 0.79915 700.0 1 11 1 0.6977 700.0 1 13 1 0.8159 700.0 1 364 1 0.7891 700.0 3 11 1 0.47668 700.0
- 3 13 1 0.57024 700.0 3 15 1 0.81049 700.0 3 212 1 0.85239 700.0

Gō-like model

- Bonded terms applied to backbone (BB) beads at the backbone center of mass
- Native contacts define the introduced bonds
- Gō bond introduced if:
 - residues are separated by at least 3 residues
 - BB distance *d* is shorter than the cutoff distance $R_{\rm c}$
- LJ potential connects the BB beads

$$V_{LJ}(d) = 4\varepsilon \left[\left(\frac{\sigma}{d} \right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma}{d} \right)^6 \right]$$

• Typical setup:

cutoff distance $R_{\rm c} = 1.0 - 1.1$ nm dissociation energy $\varepsilon = 9.4 - 15$ kJ/mol



Implementation of the Gō-like model

- Virtual sites are used for the Gō-like interactions [5]
 - Generation of additional bead types
 - Non-bonded cutoff is applied to Gō-like interactions
 - \rightarrow advantageous for domain decomposition
- Regular non-bonded terms excluded for Gō-like contacts
 → more accurate protein structures
- Contact map based on
 - Overlap criterion of all amino acid atoms
 - Additional contacts via repulsive contacts of structural units (rCSU) [6]
- [5] P. C. T. Souza, R. A. Moreira, S. J. Marrink, A. B. Poma, S. Thallmair, *in preparation* (2021).
 [6] K. Wołek, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Phys.* 143, 243105 (2015).



Preparing and fine-tuning your Gō-like model

- Martinize2 command [4]: martinize2 <...> -ff martini3001 -govs-include -govs-moltype t41
- Contact map via web server http://info.ifpan.edu.pl/~rcsu/rcsu/index.html
- Use create_goVirt.py script [5]:
 - ./create_goVirt.py -s -f --Natoms
 - --moltype t41 --go_eps 12.0
 - --cutoff_short --cutoff_long
- Optimization of dissociation energy ε and cutoff distance $R_{\rm c}$

[5] P. C. T. Souza, R. A. Moreira, S. J. Marrink, A. B. Poma, S. Thallmair, *in preparation* (2021).



Preparing and fine-tuning your $G\bar{o}\mbox{-like}$ model

- Files generated by create_goVirt.py: <name>_BB-part-def_VirtGoSites.itp <name>_go-table_VirtGoSites.itp <name>_exclusions_VirtGoSites.itp <name>_go4view_harm.itp
- Definition of GO_VIRT variable activates Gō-like model
- Files are included in the martini.itp and protein.itp file
- Pitfalls:
 - Multiple chains / protein copies
 - Atomistic .pdb file

virtual bead definitions Gō interaction table Gō exclusions visualizing Gō-like bonds

> [5] P. C. T. Souza, R. A. Moreira, S. J. Marrink, A. B. Poma, S. Thallmair, *in preparation* (2021).

Comparison of the different protein models

Martini w/o bias no additional bonds

elastic networks BB distance defines contact map harmonic potentials 684 bonds **Gō-like model** natural contacts define contact map LJ potentials 333 bonds



Show case I – PH domains

- $PI(4,5)P_2$ binding PH domain of phospholipase C (δ 1)
- Elastic network more rigid than GoMartini
- GōMartini suggests long-distance minimum known from other PH domains [7]



Show case II – allosteric pathway in SOD1 mutant

- G93A mutant destabilizes electrostatic loop
- Increased spatial demand of the mutation
- Elastic network results in highly rigid protein model
- GōMartini model enables structural response of the protein



Show case III – AFM profile of protein complexes

- Cohesin-dockerin dimer stabilized by Ca²⁺ ions
- GōMartini model enables protein unfolding to model AFM force profiles



Show case III – AFM profile of protein complexes

- Cohesin-dockerin dimer stabilized by Ca²⁺ ions
- GōMartini model enables protein unfolding to model AFM force profiles
- Two main unfolding pathways compare well to experiments and atomistic simulations [9]

[9] R. C. Bernardi, et al., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 14752 (2019).



Summary

- Directionality of hydrogen bonds is important for protein structures
- Faithful representation of tertiary and quaternary structure of proteins requires additional layer of stabilizing interactions
- Elastic network: Harmonic potentials
 - Distance criterion between BB beads
 - Rigid protein structure

• GōMartini:

- Lennard-Jones potentials
- Native contact map
- More flexible protein structure
- Show cases emphasize more dynamic and flexible protein structures with GōMartini



Paulo C. T. Souza (CNRS Lyon) Manuel N. Melo (ITQB Nova Lisbon) Siewert-Jan Marrink (Univ. Groningen)

Adolfo B. Poma (Lodz Univ. of Technology) Rodrigo A. Moreira (IPPT PAN Warsaw)

Funding





Alfons und Gertrud Kassel-Stiftung







